

“State level Consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh”

4th November, 2015, DSS Bhavan, Masabtank, Hyderabad

Proceedings



Supported By



Organized By



act:ionaid

Title : “State level Consultation on Implementation of RofR Act, 2006 in Andhra Pradesh”

Date : 4th November, 2015

Documented by : CPF - Mr. E. Poorna Chander & Ms. Gargi Das

Edited by : CPF-Ms Ruchi Kukreti Kaushal

Photo credit : Actionaid - Mr. Solomon Raj

Contact : Centre for People’s Forestry,
12-13-483/39, Street No.14, Lane 6,
Nagarjunanagar Colony, Tarnaka,
Secunderabad, Telangana, India. 500017.
Tele Fax: 040-27154484/94
Email: info@cpf.in; Website: www.cpf.in

ActionAid Association
Hyderabad Regional Office
#21; Brook Bond Colony; Kakaguda
Kharkhana; Secunderabad - 500015
Telangana, India.
Office Tel: ph: +91 40-65445410/ 40149631

CONTENTS

1. Background

2. Proceedings

2.1 Inaugural session: *Welcome Address and objectives of the state level consultation*

2.2 Experiences from field: *Community representatives & NGOs*

2.3 Panel Discussion on “Strengthening of FRA 2006 Implementation in the State of Andhra Pradesh”

2.4 Way Forward: *Expectations to put forth to the government, Road Map for future*

2.5 Responses from Govt. officials

3. Annexure

Roles and responsibilities of different agencies to pursue the recommendations -
Annexure 1

List of publications circulated- Annexure 2

List of participants –Annexure 3

1. Background

The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act 2006 is an important legislation passed in India on 18th December 2006. The Act confers legal entitlements on the lands which have been under cultivation by the forest dwellers and tribal communities since decades. The Schedule Tribe and Other Traditional Forest dwellers Forest Rights Recognition Act 2006 (generally known as FRA) is categorically enacted to end the historical injustice made to the tribal by recognizing their traditional rights which they have been enjoying for generations. The Community Rights (CR) and the Community Forest Resource Rights (CFR) of the local communities have been legally recognized by the Forest Right Act, 2006. The Act recognizes and vests forest rights which include rights to the local communities to sustainably manage the forests, wildlife and biodiversity. It empowers Gramsabha to determine rights over the forests and to establish community governance over the forests. Unfortunately, in many states, the process of implementation of CR and CFR has been hindered due to several reasons which are the biggest challenge before the authorities, civil societies and above all, the communities.

The rules were amended in 2012 to fill the gap in the policy as well as implementation process to ensure rights to tribals in true spirit. Phases of regional consultation took place in different parts of the country, after the amended rules were notified in September 2012. Two such consultations were held in Hyderabad in October 2012 and 2013.¹ But not much progress in the scenario with regard to CR and CFR in united Andhra Pradesh. As per government records, only 6714 CFR Claims were received and out of it 1016307 extent of land have been distributed and most of the title distributed was to VSS which are to be withdrawn (as per MOTA letter dated 6/8/2013).

Emerging Issues

So far, as field experiences/reports are concerned, following issues have been identified.

- The implementation of Forest Rights Act- 2006, towards recognition of Community Forest Resource Rights (to be claimed in form C) has not been initiated. Even recognition of Community Rights under form B has been poor, post amendment of rules 2012. Some of the major reasons for the poor progress of the CFR are as follows:

¹ As per report of MoTA in united Andhra Pradesh by the end of March 2013, only 6714 CFR Claims were received and out of it 1016307 extent of land have been distributed. Most of it was to VSS which are to be withdrawn (as per MOTA letter dated 6/8/2013).

- (i) As per the amendment in 2012, to the FRA -2006, the FRCs have to be formed at the habitation level which has not been initiated
 - (ii) Absence of initiation of the process of receiving claims in Form C
 - (iii) Lack of awareness and information among the communities as well as with the implementing authorities/ field level officials.
 - (iv) Coordination gap among the departments / authorities
 - (v) Lack of support to the communities to file their claims and receive their titles.
- The habitat and habitation rights of the Particularly Vulnerable tribal Groups (PVTGs), rights of the pastoralist communities, rights of the displaced communities, conversion of forest villages into revenue villages have still not been recognized as per the FRA 2006 and in all the cases, the authorities are of the opinion that there is no clear guideline to recognize these rights.
 - Last but not the least, the communities those who have got the titles should be provided support to exercise their rights and authorities to manage forests for their sustainable livelihood needs. This would require sustained support and facilitation for strengthening of traditional wisdom and practices of the communities. In this context, the changing role of forest department, institutional framework for CFR management, wildlife protection and biodiversity conservation, and future governance needs more focus and clarity. Convergence of programmes from other line departments and their management towards facilitation of Community Forest Resource management planning and implementation by the CFR title holding Grama Sabhas, is another emerging area which needs attention.

In this background, it was proposed to organize a ***“State Level Consultation on RoFR Implementation in the State of Andhra Pradesh”***, involving community members (tribal and forest dwellers), CBO’s/NGO’s and Government officials. In fact the Department Of Tribal Welfare- Andhra Pradesh had shown keen interest in holding this Consultation which has been a welcoming move. This One day consultation was jointly organized by CPF, Actionaid in Collaboration with the Department of Tribal welfare- Andhra Pradesh.

2. Proceedings

2.1 Inaugural session:

Welcome Address and objectives of the state level consultation

The State Level Consultation commenced with a warm welcome accorded by Dr. Solomon Raj Gaddam, Project Manager-Action aid to NGOs, Community representatives, Academicians and Government officials. A round of self introductions of the participants was followed.

Opening remarks and objectives of the consultation:

Dr. M. Padma, Director- Tribal Welfare Department in her inaugural address insisted on collaboration and support from NGOs for effective implementation of RoFR in terms of facilitation, training and strengthening of FRCs and was hopeful that by the end of the day the forum will be able to come up with a concrete road map and action plan. Further she stated that, a change management is required for proper implementation of the Act and asked the forum to take this as an opportunity to put forth their view points as per the objectives of the consultation



Sri V. China Veerabhadru, Additional Director, Tribal welfare, shared the purpose of the consultation meeting while referring back to the background and objectives of the consultation meeting. He stated that for discussions on issues related to IFR, CR and CFR, the consultation meeting provides a good platform, and requested participants to utilize this opportunity and come up with recommendations. Further he elaborated on the objectives of the consultation.

Objectives of the consultation:

1. To develop a Joint road map for affective implementation of the RoFR -2006 in Andhra Pradesh.
2. To identify the challenges as well as advances in recognition of Community Forest Rights & Community Rights in the state.
3. To implement the recent policy-related developments at the national and state level with respect to –
 - Notification of new guidelines and FRA Amendment Rules 2012.
 - Government order no. 50 of united Andhra Pradesh, which lays authority to ITDA to settle all the FRA claims.
 - Letter from MOTA to Tribal Commissioner to withdraw Community rights titles issued to VSSs

2.2 Experiences from field:

The key highlights of the discussions from the Community, NGOs and Govt. officials are as follows:

Community and NGOs:

- The reason for rejection of IFR claims are not communicated to the claimants
- There is no clarity among the field officials regarding the new guidelines and recognition of rights under form-C
- SDLC and DLC meetings are not happening regularly; as a result many claims are pending since last two years.
- Convergence of government schemes on IFR title lands has not been the priority.
- Community Rights are recognized under section 3(2) which is only Development Rights, Resource Rights under 3(1) is ignored and no step has been taken till date on the issue.



- FRCs is not constituted according to MoTA guidelines. These committees are at Panchayat level, whereas as per guidelines it should be at habitation or gramsabha level
- The community is not aware about their membership in FRCs.
- Most of the IFR titles are received with less extent of land.



- FRA implementation is going in a very slow pace especially in Polavaram project villages, Bauxite mining areas, Sanctuaries, National parks etc.,
- Traditional / Customary boundaries is not taken in to consideration while demarcating the extent of land for recognizing community rights
- In sanctuary area the IFR titles are taken back and it was informed that, it will not be given back which is unconstitutional
- The habitation rights for the PVTGs under FRA are not recognized
- Plantations are taking place without consent of community
- Community titles doesn't have maps
- Many claims are rejected due to confusion in satellite mapping
- Restrictions are imposed by Forest Department and GCC on community members for selling their forest produce outside, it needs to be sorted out.
- Banking linkages are not available to IFR title holders for land development, irrigation facilities etc.,
- Titles are issued to non land holders in Paderu, Visakhapatnam district. Such title holders are debarred from their social security rights i.e., loss their old age pension. Land also is not given to them.
- In all the districts, the tribal communities are dependent on forest in several ways such as – sacred spaces, grazing areas, NTFP collection areas (up to 30 -60 percent income comes from NTFP), grave yards, spaces where they regularly collect food produce such as roots, tubers and green leafy vegetables etc (up to 20-40 percent of food consumed) and fuel wood (100 percent dependency) as well as small wood for their other needs.

- Government has not taken any proactive measures for the facilitation of claims with regard to CRs and CFRs

Government Officials:

Mr. Venkateshwarlu - ITDA PO – Srisailam shared that, the community claims pertaining to development rights are pending as there is opposition from forest department. Many titles are still pending due to non signatures from the forest officials. He requested for clear guidelines to field level officials.



ITDA PO – Seetampeta- Srikakulam, Mr. Venkatrao shared that, 10000 claims are pending and yet to be distributed, due to the objection raised by the Forest Department hence, a reason for the claims to be pending. He further stated that the claims also are rejected due to lack of proper evidences. The Department is planning to give Aadhar and compartment number in the title document for the easy facilitation of linkages. The IFR title pass book numbers are also being incorporated in the revenue records. He stressed on the need for awareness generation amongst the community on roles and responsibilities of FRCs and the need for conducting training programmes on a regular basis.

Mr. Venugopal, Deputy Collector-Tribal Welfare, Paderu, Visakhapatnam- gave the status of RoFR work done under ITDA Paderu, i.e., 32,884 IFR claims were received, out of which 29354 titles were distributed with 56369 acres extent of land. Remaining is pending. Further he said that, development activities are not happening due to objections from Forest Department

2.3 Panel Discussion on “Strengthening of FRA 2006 implementation in the state of Andhra Pradesh”

Highlights of the panel discussion:

Panelist 1: Mr. Palla Trinadha Rao, Advocate

- 50% of the claims are rejected; there is a need to review the rejected claims. Further the reason of rejection should be communicated to the claimants.
- As per the Act, the district officials need to review and arrange for recognition of rights for PVTGs, even if the community doesn't apply by themselves.
- Fresh FRCs to be constituted at Gramsabha level.
- Recognition of Bamboo rights in Sirisinapalli, East Godavari district, should be replicated in other regions of the state.
- The implementing agency is not following a participatory approach for implementation of RoFR. He stressed on the need for a larger engagement of NGOs and CBOs.
- As per the Act, individuals can make multiple claims for IFR up to an extent of 10 acres of land.
- As per FRA 2006, transit permits issued by Gramsabha is valid and it should be recognized.



Panelist 2: Dr. Gopinath Reddy, Professor - CESS

- The implementation of the FRA Act 2006, is not as per the spirit of FRA
- Average per capita extent of IFR land received is 4 acres which is much better when compare to all India statistics
- Importance should be given to post title governance and management of resources, there is no clarity on integrating community management plan and forest department working plan
- A institutional vacuum exists at grass root level

- OTFDs are eligible for RoFR only in non scheduled areas. The eligibility criteria for recognition of rights (possession of land for 75 years or since three generations) for OTFDs should be reviewed.
- Amended rule of 2012 need to be meticulously implemented
- FRA needs to be implemented immediately wherever the displacement is proposed
- Development programmes need to be linked to FRA lands (Agriculture extension activities / irrigation facilities / other development activities)
- Gramasabha is the final authority and have the rights to issue transit permits
- Satellite imagery should not be mandatory for verification of claims

Panelist 3: Mr. P.S. Ajay Kumar, APVVU

- FRCs should be constituted as per gramsabha notified under PESA or habitation wise
- Common format should be developed at state level for recognition of rights
- Enquiry and review should be taken up by the government in case if there is any doubt for the titles issued with less than one acre of extent of land
- Facilitation of work done by the NGOs should be recognized
- Official website should be updated regularly with all relevant information with regards to implementation of RoFR
- Joint verification is not happening properly and the extent of land is approved arbitrarily
- Common format should be developed at state level for recognition of rights
- Enquiry and review should be taken up by the government under benefit of doubt for the titles issued with less than one acre of extent of land
- Facilitation of work done by the NGOs should be recognized
- Official website should be updated regularly with all relevant information with regard to implementation of RoFR
- Joint verification is not happening properly and the extent of land is approved arbitrarily

2.4 Way forward

Dr. Suryakumari, Director-CPF and Mr. Anjaneyulu, Regional Manager-Actionaid consolidated the discussions from the previous sessions and presented the key recommendations. Further Mr. Ajay Kumar, Chief Functionary-APVVU also contributed.



Key Recommendations

1. Individual forest Rights (IFR- Form A)

- Correction of titles, where rights are recognized with less extent of land.
- For rejected claims, the reasons for rejection should be communicated to the claimants.
- Multiple claims, for single claimant can be accepted, till the overall extent of land is up to four hectares to ten acres.
- Recognition of rights should be completed immediately, in the areas where there is a strong possibility of displacement of communities in national parks, sanctuaries, mining areas etc.

2. Community Forest rights (CR- Form B)

- Community Rights should be recognized in two aspects: Resource rights (Under Section 3(1)) and development rights (Under Section 3(2)). More focus should be imparted on recognizing Resource Rights now.
- Recognizing habitation rights for PVTG's should be the key priority.
- Recognition of rights should be done immediately, where the displacement has been proposed for developmental purpose.
- Community Titles need to be given in the name of Gram sabhas

3. Community Forest Resource rights (CFRe – Form C)

- Rights should be recognized under Form C for section 3(1), so that community can access as well manage the forest resources. The conservation, protection and management plans should be taken up with the consent of community.
- Forest Department to initiate process of issuing of titles in the name of the concerned Gramsabhas.
- Instructions need to be issued by forest department and tribal commissionerate to the field officials for recognition of community forest resource rights.
- Guidelines by Forest Department needs to be issued to the field officials on the authority of Gramsabha to issue harvest and transit permits for Bamboo and other forest produces
- Post title technical support should be provided by Forest Department to the community for governance and management of the forest resources and the department may come up with measures and institutional arrangements to do so.

4. Institutional

- Forest Right Committee (FRC) to be reconstituted at habitation and or Gramsabha wise
- Tribal Commissioner need to issue directive cancelling the FRCs formed prior to notification of Gramsabha under PESA
- ITDAs should come up with action plan to reconstitute the FRCs and maintain the data base in next two to three months. NGOs and CBOs should be involved in the process.
- Surveyors to be identified at ITDA level and have to be engaged for mapping of the resources
- RoFR cell established at ITDA level should accept the grievance petitions. All petitions should be brought to the notice of the SDLC.
- The ITDA/ tribal Department and Forest Department should work in convergence with each other and hold periodic review meetings to address the field level issues.
- The DFOs should be advised by the Forest Department to mandatorily attend the DLC meetings otherwise the entire exercise is futile.

5. Training, Awareness and Facilitation

- Awareness building on - FRA, MoTA guidelines and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) among implementing agencies is essential.
- There should be a common format among all ITDAs for the process of recognizing the rights
- Training should be imparted to the field staff for the effective implementation of the FRA.
- Centralized training material to be prepared and ToTs should be conducted at ITDA level.

6. Monitoring

- State level review meeting should happen once in six month involving all stakeholders and NGOs could be invited for SLMC meetings. The proceedings of the meeting should be updated on the website.
- Review meetings should be conducted at SDLC level once in a quarter and DFOs participation should be ensured.
- Technical support mechanism to be initiated and a special officer should be recruited for effective implementation of RoFR
- Tribal Department should regularly follow-up with Forest Department

2.5 Responses from Govt. officials

Dr. M. Padma, Director – Tribal Welfare Department

- Monitoring mechanism will be set-up for grievance, and issue to be followed up till it is resolved
- FRCs will be reconstituted and strengthened, NGOs need to collaborate with implementing



agencies for facilitation and trainings

- Individual titles can be issued even outside the forest mainly for STs under other appropriate schemes
- Action plan will be made in collaboration with NGOs
- Website updation is under process.

Dr. Mohd. Ilyas Rizvi, APCCF – Forest Resource

- Forest Department will cooperate and render all support to Tribal Welfare Department for the effective implementation of RoFR
- Conservation of resources and development of community should go together.

Mr. Kallol Biswas, CCF - DYCF

- GPS coordinates are essential to avoid conflicts and confusions in future
- ITDA POs need to consult with the Collector and come up with revenue survey number for IFR titles issued in reserve forest
- Survey number allotment to reserve forest should be mentioned in the title deed
- Instructions has been issued to sort-out the discrepancies in IFR titles regarding extent of land
- Expert committee to be constituted to look into habitation rights in national sanctuaries and tiger reserves



The roles and responsibilities of different agencies to pursue the recommendations of this workshop are summarized in Annexure 1

Vote of thanks was given by Ms. Ruchi Kukreti Kaushal, Sr. Prog. Officer-CPF

Annexure-1

Roles and responsibilities of different agencies to pursue the recommendations

S.No.	Tasks	Status	Responsible Agency to take action
RoFR			
1	Notification for immediate Recognition of rights, in the areas where the displacement is probable. i.e., national parks, sanctuaries, mining areas etc.	Recognition of Forest Right has not been settled as yet	Tribal Commissionerate in collaboration with Forest Department (FD)
2	Notification for Forest Right Committee (FRC) to be reconstituted at habitation and or Gramsabha wise	FRC are at Gram panchayat level, which is not as per the provision of the Act.	Tribal Commissionerate & Integrated Tribal Development Agency (ITDA)
3	Instructions to cancel the FRCs formed prior to notification of Gramsabha under PESA	FRC are at Gram panchayat level, As per the Act, it should be at Gram sabha level.	Tribal Commissionerate and ITDA
4	Database to be maintained regarding newly constituted FRCs	Not available as of now.	ITDA
Individual Forest Rights – IFR			
5	Correction of titles, where rights are recognized with less extent of land.	Rights have been recognized with discrepancies i.e. Extent of land recognized is less than the extent claimed.	ITDA & FD
6	Linking IFR title records with revenue records	This is not happening as of now. The Amended rule 12 A- clause 9 states : On completion of the process of settlement of rights and issue of titles	ITDA in collaboration with Revenue Department and Forest department

		<p>as specified in Annexure II, III and IV of rules, the Revenue and the Forest departments shall prepare a final map of the forest land so vested and the concerned authorities shall incorporate the forest rights so vested in the revenue and forest records, as the case may be, within the specified period of record updation under the relevant State laws or within a period of three months, whichever is earlier</p>	
7	<p>Financial inclusion of IFR title holders for land development, irrigation facilities etc.,</p>	<p>Currently the convergence is happening only with MNREGS works and various ITDA programmes, however the amended rule -16 states that : The State Government shall ensure through its departments especially tribal and social welfare, environment and forest, revenue, rural development, panchayati raj and other departments relevant to upliftment of forest dwelling scheduled tribes and other traditional forest dwellers, that all government schemes including those relating to land improvement,</p>	<p>Tribal Commissionatre and ITDAs.</p> <p>As per the united Andhra Pradesh GO no 50, FRA implementation has been entrusted to ITDAs. The ITDA-POs has to co-ordinate with various departments to ensure convergence for IFR title holders.</p>

		land productivity, basic amenities and other livelihood measures are provided to such claimants and communities whose rights have been recognized and vested under the Act)	
Community Forest Resource Right- CFR			
8	Instructions to be issued to the field officials for recognition of Community Forest Resource Rights under Form-C	Recognition of Community forest resource rights under Form –C has not been initiated.	Tribal Commissionerate and Forest Department
9	Titles need to be given in the name of Gram sabha	As per amended rule 8 (h) (i) titles should be given to the gram sabha. In Andhra Pradesh the titles are issued to the VSS but again it's only in the record and the title documents are not available with the community or ITDA or with the Forest Department.	Tribal Commissionerate
10	Guidelines to be issued to the field officials on the authority of Gramsabha to issue harvest and transit permits for Bamboo and other forest produces	No action has been initiated on this as of now.	Tribal Commissionerate to persuade with Forest Department for instruction to field level forest officials.
11	Post title technical support to be provided to the community for governance and management of the forest resources and the department may come up with	No action has been initiated.	Tribal Commissionerate to collaborate with Forest Department for necessary action.

	measures and institutional arrangements to do so		
12	Surveyors to be identified and engaged for mapping of the Community rights and community forest resource right under form B and Form C respectively.	No action has been initiated	ITDA
Training			
13	Awareness building on - FRA, MoTA guidelines and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) among implementing agencies is essential	Whatever is happening currently in field is inadequate and there is lack of proper understanding of the FRA Act among field officials.	ITDA and NGOs
14	Developing common format for the process of recognizing the Individual rights, Community rights and community forest resource right rights	Currently there is no specific format available other than the formats issued by MoTA, for putting up the claim and format for issuing title document. There is no common format (i.e. for Gram sabha resolution, for submitting the evidences, for recording the joint verification) developed by the state for processing the facilitation.	ITDA
15	Centralized training material to be prepared and ToTs should be conducted	No such mechanism available currently	DTRI , Tribal welfare Dept, ITDA & NGOs
Monitoring			

16	Establishment of separate section in currently existing RoFR cell at ITDA level to accept the grievance petitions and to inform the claimant and DLC / ITDA –PO on the status , periodically , till the titles are received .	No such mechanism available currently.	ITDA
17	NGOs should be allowed to be part of SLMC meeting as special invitees to receive feedback from field and to know about field situation.	SLMC meeting are happening only with SLMC members.	Tribal Commissionerate
18	The proceedings of the SLMC meeting should be updated in website.	Meeting minutes are not updated in website.	Tribal Commissionerate
19	Review meetings should be conducted at SDLC level once in a quarter and NGOs should be Involved as special invitees to get informed about field issues.	SDLC meetings are happening within their members only	ITDA
20	DFOs participation should be ensured for SDLC meetings	DFO don't attend the SDLC meetings	Forest Department to support ITDA
21	Action plan should be made for RoFR implementation	Doesn't seems to be in place as of now	Tribal Commissionerate, in collaboration with Forest Department , & NGOs

Tribal Commissioner ate
RoFR
Notification for immediate Recognition of rights, in the areas where the displacement is probable. i.e., national parks, sanctuaries, mining areas etc.
Instructions to cancel the FRCs formed prior to notification of Gramsabha under PESA
Technical support mechanism to be initiated and a special officer should be recruited for effective implementation of RoFR
CFR
Instructions need to be issued to the field officials for recognition of community forest resource rights under Form-C
Titles need to be given in the name of Gramsabha
MONITORING
State level review meeting should be done once in six month involving all stakeholders and further NGOs could be invited for SLMC meetings, and the proceedings of the meeting should be updated in website
Forest Department
RoFR
Rendering support to Tribal Department for immediate Recognition of rights, in the areas where the displacement is probable. i.e., national parks, sanctuaries, mining areas etc.
IFR
Correction of titles, where rights are recognized with less extent of land.
CFR
Instructions need to be issued to the field officials for recognition of community forest resource rights under Form-C
Guidelines to be issued to the field officials on the authority of Gramsabha to issue

harvest and transit permits for Bamboo and other forest produces
Post title technical support to be provided to the community for governance and management of the forest resources and the department may come up with measures and institutional arrangements to do so
Action plan should be made for RoFR implementation
Integrated Tribal Development Agency- ITDA
RoFR
Notification for Forest Right Committee (FRC) to be reconstituted at habitation and or Gramsabha wise
Data base should be maintained regarding newly constituted FRCs
IFR
Correction of titles, where rights are recognized with less extent of land.
Linking IFR title records with revenue records
Banking linkages to IFR title holders for land development, irrigation facilities etc.,
Surveyors to be identified and engaged for mapping of the resources
Training
Awareness building on - FRA, MoTA guidelines and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) among implementing agencies
Centralized training material to be prepared and ToTs should be conducted
Monitoring
Developing common format for the process of recognizing the rights
Establishment of separate section in RoFR cell to accept the grievance petitions
Review meetings should be conducted at SDLC level once in a quarter and DFOs participation should be ensured
Others Department : Revenue and Rural Department
Linking IFR title records with revenue records
Banking linkages to IFR title holders for land development, irrigation facilities etc.,

NGOs in collaboration with Tribal Department
Facilitation for Forest Right Committee (FRC) reconstitution at habitation and or Gramsabha wise
Awareness building on - FRA, MoTA guidelines and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) among implementing agencies is essential
Centralized training material to be prepared and ToTs should be conducted
Action plan should be made for RoFR implementation

List of publications circulated

Sr no	Name of the publication	Published by
1	Background note	CPF & Actionaid
2	Agenda	CPF & Actionaid
	Our forest , our right	Actionaid
3	“Understanding of Forest Right Act ,2006 for effective implementation” a Hand book	CPF
4	Policy Brief on Status of convergence in IFR title land in Andhra Pradesh	CPF
5	Brief note on implementation of Forest right Act in Khammam	ASDS
6	Chenchu world in Nallamala Forest	Actionaid

List of Participants

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
1	V. Durga prasad	DBF (Dalit Bahujan Front)	9701156089	
2	Indra Anji Rao	DBF	8008976970	Indra Anji Rao
3	P.S. Ajay Kumar	APVVU	9989830711	P.S. Ajay Kumar
4	S. Suresh Babu	TCR&TI, TW dept	9959967491	S.S.
5	K.D.N. Prasad	TCR&TI TW Dept		K.D.N. Prasad
6	NAGARAJU CHIKKALA	CESS - Hyderabad	9492567297	ch. n. j. (contacted)
7	M.V. Ramprasad	KOVEL FOUNDATION VISAKHA PATNAM	9490869498	
8	G. Sreenu (Balaji)	Rural Development Service Society - PROSS. BPT Visakhapatnam 531020	9948084888	

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
9	1. Farida Tumpal	WWF-India Hyderabad Office	9440751037	
10	2. Deepak R.	WWF-India Hyderabad Office	9703351959	
11	3. Kallot Binwan	Forest Dept.	8332987890	
12	4. Anjaneyulu	Act:onaid, Hyderabad	8374890113	
13	5. Y. VENKATESWARLU	Project Officer ITDA, Sri Saitam	9490957009	
14	6. Dr. D. Surpakumari	Director - CPF	9849303220	
15	7. B. Sankar Reddy	Project Officer - ARTS	8985624689	B. Sankar Reddy
16	8. M. Bhaskar Reddy	Srikalahasti Activists Group - ARTS	"	M. Bhaskar Reddy

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
17	X S. SRINU	ROSS/ARVU	8330989457	
18	2 K. TRINADHARAO	Gramabhayudaya	9989783089	
19	3. Md. Haleef	CPF	9963149329	
20	4 K. JAYA RAJU	SAMYAKA	9440548946	
21	5 J. Sundaramma	"	"	
22	6 J. Bhaskar	CPF	9885579176	
23	7. V.C. V. Jayakumar	TCR&TI, TW Dept	9985683695	
24	8. G.L. Kondamma	Gramabhayudaya	8985364262	

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department-Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	Contact Number	Signature
28	S. Chinnarao V. CHINNARAO	BTCRTJ GIRIJANAVIKAS	9492026066 9494147389	[Signature] V. chinnarao
26	E. RAMA RAO	GIRIJANAVIKAS	8985197204	E. Ram Rao
29	N. VENKATA RAO	Grama Swarasya Sampathi	9603005525	[Signature]
28	P. BABULU	"	9491660232	P. Babalu
29	A. Chidambaram	TERDS	833207719	[Signature]
30	P. Isaac Samayam Raju	TERDS (PTG Network)	9704878345	[Signature]
31	K. Mohan Reddy	Jeeva Guppis (V) V.K. Jagan ESST Software (SIT)	970184145	M
32	V. G. ANANDH BABA	AGBS	950267519	[Signature]

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan – Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
33 1.	SAMA. MOHAN KRISHNA	Integrated Rural Development Society - IRDS-NVM-CTR	8008032059	
34 2.	M. Sanjivi	,	,	
35 3.	D. LAXMAN RAO	Gondigudem - CTR	9491638821	
36 4.	M. Kannarao	Sirasanaipalli	8332890010	
37 5.	SK. mahammad Haniff	CPF, centre for people's party Prakasam DT P. Darrala	9490 326 126	
38 6.	B. manthaiiah	CPF, PRAKASAM P. Darrala	9059890529	
39 7.	U. musalainu	CPF. Guntur DT Gote Palla	8978282314	
40 8	Ch Edaiah	Venkatadri Palle	9464746945	

SAKTI

(2580723)

actionaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
9	M Pothu Raju	SAKTI Seenuwik Thural Guntur	960 39 67 965	M. Pothu Raju
10	M. Srinivasulu	SAKTI P Doran Prakasham Rd	9490064895	M. Srinivasulu
11	P. Sanku Sai	SAKTI, Hyd.	9989992751	P. Sanku Sai
12	S. Sanku Sai	SAKTI, Hyd.	7382216348	S. Sanku Sai
13	E. Gowri Sankar	Laya - Adityaengala	9494006139	E. Gowri Sankar
14	P. K. PRABHAKAR	F. E. S. Foundation for Ecological Security	949 33 64 772	P. K. PRABHAKAR
15	MAJOR (RETD.) KUDAYASHANKAR	FOUNDATION FOR ECOLOGICAL SECURITY	9000190417	MAJOR (RETD.) KUDAYASHANKAR
16	P. Chandrabah	Eduguvalla Pally. Chintoor (M.O.) ASOS. Rekhalpally	8500914092	P. Chandrabah

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
49	P. Jyoti	Abhinavalla (SAP) Ranch	9177044271	P. Jyoti
50	M. Suresh Babu	Sr. Consultant TCR&TI	9642076469	M. Suresh Babu
51	G. Balaram	Padara	9494099578	G. Balaram
52	G. Vora Lakshmi	Chaitanya Sraanthi (P.D)	08935250761	G. Vora Lakshmi
53	K. Raja Rao (Raj Kumar)	Chaitanya Sraanthi / Asanga V.O. Padara	9493820615	K. Raja Rao
54	D. Nageswara I.	Spl. Dy. Collr. (P.W.) ETDA - Padara		D. Nageswara I.
55	N. INDIRA RANI	Action Aid	09849672378	N. INDIRA RANI
56	M. Jagann	Action Aid	9848207511	M. Jagann

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
9	D Venkata Ramana	Bhramaram daya NLP	9493413886	D.
9	Panda. Baniu Balou	Layya NGO VSP.	9491406881	Baniu
10	B. Suryam	Layya NGO VSP (APASS)		B. Suryam
11	T. Kantaraju	Layya NGO (Secundr)	9440250787	T. Kantaraju
12	A. Krishnaraju	Andhra Pradesh Adharaj Layya Samithi	9948724591	A. Krishnaraju
13	P. Thammayya	Andhra Pradesh mamsa peepik	9951602619	P. Thammayya
14	N. SRINIVAS Akhanda	LAYYA NGO & Video gram	9491806314	N. Srinivas Akhanda
15	K. (Remedy)	Kovel Foundation N.G.O	8330941540	K. Remedy

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
65 16.	RAMBABU. MARLA	Field officer, TCR&TI.	9912053010	Ram 5/11
66 17.	Smt Rani Mandla	Asst. Secy, TCR&TI	7382620486	Rani 04/11/15
67 18.	Balla Bhavath Reddy	Field officer, TCR & TI	9052991714	Balla 04/11/15
68 19.	Ch. Lavanya Devi	Field officer, TCR & TI	9550184837	Ch. 04/11/15
69	Dr. Solomon Raj Gadda	P.M. AA	9848782820	Dr. Gadda
70	Tanu Reddy	AA	995155190	Tanu Reddy
71	B. Kedari	AA	9032171888	B. Kedari.
72	Madan Lal Jadhav	ARDS ands. ngdoo@gmail.com	9441374071	Madan Lal Jadhav

act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number	Signature
73	Chandana Reddy	Advocate (HYD)	9676382040	Reddy
74	P. SUBRAMANYAM	RREDO	9866149420	P. Sub
75	P. GOVINDAIAH	RREDO	9866149420	P. Govindaiah
76	RUCHI KURRETI KAUSHAL	CPF	7036660700	Ruchi
77	E. Poorna Chander	CPF	9849170183	E. Poorna
78	Gargi Das	CPF	8008045250	Gargi
79	K. Ananth Kumar	CPF	9246464659	K. Ananth
80	J. Mallek	Sr. Consultant	7416329840	J. Mallek



act:onaid



State level consultation on Implementation of RoFR Act 2006 in Andhra Pradesh

Date: 04th Nov 2015

Venue: DSS Bhavan - Masab tank Hyderabad

Organized in collaboration with the Tribal Welfare Department - Tribal Cultural Research and Training Institute (TCR&TI)

S.No.	Name	Organization Name	contact number (0082053)	Signature
81	J. Venkatarao	P.O. BIDA, Samsameta	po. bida.spt@gmail.com	
82	B. Suresh Babu	Kovel Foundation VLSA No. 8530941540.		B. Suresh Babu
83	P. Thammayya	manya Deepik (Vizianagaram)	9951002619	P. Thammayya
84				
85	Vijay Reddy	Act:onaid	9885369318	
86				
87				
88				
89				